

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/671,783	09/27/2000	JEAN-PIERRE LARDY		6965
7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER	
CHRIS L HOLM			GORT, ELAINE L	
MILBANK TWEED HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10005-1413		3627	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)
· .	09/671,783	LARDY ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
ν	Elaine Gort	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sexpending the provided by the Office later than three months after the rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) is statute, cause the application to becommailing date of this communication, even	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal m	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are with 5) Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction a	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bute * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dominating and the first sentence was included in the first sentence	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). I list of the certified copies of the stic priority under 35 U.S. the first sentence of the spectary provisional application has the estic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No ten received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ification or in an Application Data Sheet. s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
.s. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/671,783

A¥ Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 24-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper

No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected because they lack patentable utility. Claims 1-9 only claim the manipulation of data but perform no concrete, useful or tangible result.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Current office policy regarding method claims disclosed as requiring a computer but not claiming the use of a computer is to consider the claimed subject matter as non-statutory for failing to fall within the technological arts. Claims must be tied to a technological art. Tying the method to a computer would overcome this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,783

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irving et al. (US Patent 5,991,743) in view of Kealhofer (US Patent 6,078,903).

Irving et al. discloses the claimed device but is silent regarding the default rating being in the form of a probability of default. Kealhofer discloses that it is known in the art to provide a probability of default to provide individuals with quantitative information to predict successful payment and the expected default rate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method for determining a company's default rating of Irving et al. with the probability format of Kealhofer, in order to provide the rating in a numeric probability format.

Irving et al. discloses a method for determining a company's likelihood of no default including: determining a factor reflecting both price and price volatility of shares in the company (system tracks share price over time), debt per share (system tracks balance sheet figures which inherently include debt per share including both long and short term debt), and expected debt recovery fraction and deviation of the expected debt recovery (system tracks historical risk ratings including predetermined risk

categories); and determines the company's rating for default based on the above factors (such as when the system creates a report including a risk rating).

Regarding the calculation of the standard deviation of price, expected debt recovery, it is notoriously old and well known in the art of probability forecasting to use the standard deviation which indicates the way in which a probability function is centered around its mean, to indicates a measure of dispersion and variability and it would have been obvious to utilize the variation of price and expected debt recovery to indicate the stability of these factors that directly relate or indicate the company's strength.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

November 20, 2003

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600